

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,789	04/03/2006	Steffen Pfeiffer	4385-051182	5011
28289 7590 03/20/2098 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE			EXAM	IINER
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,789	PFEIFFER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

eam	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 December 2007</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4157	01: (1) 05 47: (1) 11: (1) 11: (1)			

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowl	edgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b	o) Some * c) None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
C. Debet and Transport Office		

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 25 and 39, a fragment "average molecular weight" is indefinite, since type of molecular weight (number or weight) is not defined.

In Claim 34 a fragment "a reaction temperature of from 70 to 160C, such as from 95 to 100C" is indefinite, since it is not clear the temperature range claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-37, 39-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borner et al (WO/40564, cited in IDS, in the present Office Action this document cited

Art Unit: 1796

with equivalent US 20040024131) herein Borner in combination with Adams et al (US 2473463, cited in the previous Office Action).

Borner teaches a direct synthesis process for preparing etherified melamine resin condensates wherein

- a) in the first step of the reaction, an etherified melamine resin precondensate is prepared in alcoholic solution (Methanol, see Example 1, meets the limitations of Claim 27 and Claim 28) with formaldehyde (meeting limitation of Claim 29),
- b) in at least one vaporization step (see Example 1), the concentration of the etherified melamine resin precondensate in alcoholic solution is increased, C4-C18 alcohols (C4 butanol, see Example 1).
 - c) in a second step of the reaction, the increased-concentration melamine resin precondensate is reacted, using a mixer (extruder, see Example 1).

Regarding claim 26, Borner teaches that after the second step of the reaction, the etherified melamine resin condensate is discharged and palletized (granulated) (see Example 2).

Regarding claim 41-42, Borner teaches highly vented extruder (see Claim 9).

Although Borner does not teach the details of the extruder, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that it should have very effective vapor removal system, since harmful formaldehyde releases during the process.

Art Unit: 1796

Regarding Claim 43, Borner teaches addition of up to 60% of particulate additives (see line 0040).

Borner does not teach Molecular Weight of 500 to 50 000. However, the resulting resin is palletized, which suggests that its Molecular weight is at least 500.

Borner does not disclose any exact solid content after vaporization.

However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to obtain 95-99% solids in Borner's process in order to make extrusion procedure more effective. In addition, low amount of aggressive volatiles does not create any safety, corrosion and health issues during the above step of the process

Note that Borner teaches addition of Butanol after neutralization step, which makes trans-esterification process improbable. Therefore, Borner polymer is not free from NH-CH2-O-CH2-NH, since methylol groups tend to react with each other.

The Borner's process has a disadvantage of releasing poisonous formaldehyde during the kneading process. In addition, product, having longer alcohol has better elasticity.

Adams teaches a process for making a fully methylated melamine-formaldehyde composition, which obtained by trans-etherification with butanol (see Examples,

Art Unit: 1796

particularly Example 3), where first step represents methylolation with a subsequent etherification. The above process is well known in the industry. It allows preventing additional polymerization of formaldehyde to paraformaldehyde. Adams teaches etherification process at pH 5.5-6.5 (see Column 4, line 65, meeting the limitations of Claim 30 and 31) at 86-91C (see Column 6, line 15, meeting the limitations of claim 34) and melamine –formaldehyde ratio of 1:3 (see Example 3, meeting the limitation of Claim 35). Adams teaches both simultaneous and sequential processes (see Examples).

Regarding Claim 33, Adams discloses a process at the presence of ionexchange resins (see Example 3).

Regarding Claim 36, Adams teaches that increased concentration of the condensate after vacorization is 10-85%.

Regarding Claim 37, Adams teaches two step distillation (see Examples).

In reference to Claim 40, Adams teaches addition of acid –modified butanol (see Examples).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify Borner's process with Adams, since it will lead to a safer process (since no poisonous gas releases during the extrusion) and create a product with better

Art Unit: 1796

mechanical properties (i.e. elasticity). In addition, Adams's process prevents forming a by-product (polyparaformaldehyde), which can deteriorate the performance of the target composition.

Claims 38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borner in combination with Adams and Horacek (US 5206066) herein Horacek.

Borner teaches a direct synthesis process for preparing etherified melamine resin condensates wherein

- a) in the first step of the reaction, an etherified melamine resin precondensate is prepared in alcoholic solution (Methanol, see Example 1, meets the limitations of Claim 27 and Claim 28) with formaldehyde (meeting limitation of Claim 29),
- b) in at least one vaporization step (see Example 1), the concentration of the etherified melamine resin precondensate in alcoholic solution is increased, C4-C18 alcohols (C4 butanol, see Example 1).
 - c) in a second step of the reaction, the increased-concentration melamine resin precondensate is reacted, using a mixer (extruder, see Example 1).

Adams teaches a process for making a fully methylated melamine-formaldehyde composition, which obtained by trans-etherification with butanol (see Examples, particularly Example 3), where first step represents methylolation with a subsequent etherification.

Art Unit: 1796

Borner and Adams do not teach diol as etherification agent.

Horacek discloses a melamine-formaldehyde resin, modified with diol (see Abstract and Column 2, line 30), such as 1,4 butane diol.

The advantage of Horacek resin is that it exhibits low shrinkage during curing and good mechanical properties (high flexibility) (see Column1, line 30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use diols in Borner/Adams processes in order to achieve exhibits low shrinkage during curing and high flexibility.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-47, filed on 12/17/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1796

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

GL

Art Unit: 1796